**武汉理工大学硕士学位论文评审评价表**

**（自然科学类学术硕士）**

**WHUT Evaluation Form for Master`s Degree Thesis Review**

**(Academic Master of Natural Science)**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 学位论文题目  Degree Thesis Title |  | | | | |
| 学科Discipline  （专业Major） |  | 论文研究方向  Research Orientation |  | | |
| 论文编号  Thesis Serial No. |  | 作者姓名  Author Name |  | 指导教师  姓名、职称  Supervisor Name&Title |  |
| 评阅人  工作单位  Reviewer Work Unit |  | | 评阅人  研究方向  Reviewer Research Orientation |  | |
| 评阅人姓名  及职称  Reviewer Name&Title |  | | 评阅日期  Review Date |  | |
| **学位论文的主要内容及创新点（由硕士生本人填写，最多不超过5项）：**  Main contents and innovation points of degree thesis (filled in by master`s degree candidate, no more than 5 items): | | | | | |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 论文题目Thesis title |  | | | | |
| 学科  （专业）Discipline  (Major) |  | | | | |
| 评议项目Review items | 评价要素Review Factors | 优秀Excellent | 良好Good | 一般Fair | 较差Poor |
| 论文选题  与文献综述  Thesis Proposal &Literature Review | 研究的理论意义、现实意义；  对本学科及相关学科领域发展状况和学术动态的了解程度。  The research has theoretical and practical significance; It realizes the development of the discipline and related areas and academic trends. |  |  |  |  |
| 创新性及论文价值Innovation and the value of the thesis | 论文提出的新见解、新方法所具有的价值；论文成果对技术进步、经济建设、国家安全等方面产生的影响或作用。  Value of the new ideas and new methods proposed in the thesis; the influence or role of the results in the technological progress, economic construction, national security and so on. |  |  |  |  |
| 基础知识  和科研能力  Basic knowledge and scientific research capability | 论文体现的理论基础的扎实程度；  本学科及相关学科领域专门知识的系统性；  分析问题、解决问题的能力；  研究方法的科学性，引证资料的翔实性；论文研究的深入程度。  T and detailed and accurate reference and a in-depth research. |  |  |  |  |
| 基础知识和科研能力  Basic knowledge and scientific research capability | 论文体现的理论基础的扎实程度；  本学科及相关学科领域专门知识的系统性；分析问题、解决问题的能力；  研究方法的科学性，是否采用先进技术、设备、信息等进行论文研究工作。  Thesis embodies a solid theoretical basis.  It indicates a systematic knowledge of the discipline and the relevant areas; Capacity of problem analysis and solving.  Whether it has scientific research method and whether the advanced technology, equipment, information and so on are used for the research work of thesis. |  |  |  |  |
| 论文规范性与  学风严谨性  Normative and rigorous of thesis | 引文的规范性，学风的严谨性；  论文语言表达的准确性、逻辑的严密性、书写格式及图表的规范性。引文的规范性；学风的严谨性；  Normative reference; Rigorous academic style; Accurate expression, Preciseness thesis structure; Rigorous and logical inference, the standardability of writing format and the chart. |  |  |  |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 总体评价  给出百分制总评成绩（90~100为优秀；75~89为良好；60~74为一般；59分及以下为较差）Comprehensive evaluation  The total score of hundred-mark system is given (90 to 100 is excellent; 75 to 89 is good; 60 to 74 is fair; 59 and below is poor) | 评价分数：  Evaluation score:  评价等级：  Evaluation grade: |
| 是否同意答辩  Decision for defense | □达到博士学位论文水平，准予答辩（90~100分）  □ achieved the doctoral thesis level, approved for defense (90 ~ 100)  □准予答辩，但须对论文进行修改后答辩（75~89分）  □ approved for defense, but the thesis needs to be revised before defense (75 ~ 89)  □未达到博士学位论文水平，不准答辩，须对论文进行较大修改后重评（60~74分）  □ did not reach the doctoral thesiss level, not approved for defense, and re-evaluation is needed after the major revision of the thesis (60 ~ 74)  □未达到博士学位论文水平，不准答辩（小于60分）  □ did not reach the doctoral thesiss level, not approved for defense (below 60) |
| 是否推荐该论文  参加优秀论文评选  Decision for recommendation of this thesis  Apply for the selection of outstanding thesis | □是 □否  □Yes □No |
| 熟悉程度  Degree of familiarity | □很熟悉 □熟悉 □一般  □very familiar □familiar □fair |
| 评阅人：（签字） 年 月 日  Reviewer: (Signature) Date:(MMDDYY) | |

|  |
| --- |
| ***对学位论文的学术评语（请对论文的学术水平、创新性做出简要评述，包括选题意义、论文创新点、学科知识的掌握、写作规范性和逻辑性等）***  ***The academic commentary on the thesis (please give a brief comment of the academic level and innovation of the thesis, including the meaning of the selected topic, the innovation of the thesis, the mastery of the subject knowledge, the standardability and logicality of writing, etc.)*** |
| ***论文的不足之处和建议(明确指出论文中存在的问题和不足之处，并请提出修改建议。)***  ***The shortcomings and suggestions of the thesis (clearly point out the problems and shortcomings in the thesis, and propose suggestions for modification.)*** |